



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G07F 7/10	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 91/16691 (43) International Publication Date: 31 October 1991 (31.10.91)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB91/00566 (22) International Filing Date: 10 April 1991 (10.04.91) (30) Priority data: 9008362.7 12 April 1990 (12.04.90) GB (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): JONHIG LIMITED [GB/GB]; 20 Old Broad Street, London EC2 (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): JONES, Timothy, Lloyd [GB/GB]; 81 Wilbury Crescent, Hove, East Sussex BN3 6FH (GB). HIGGINS, Graham, Robert, Leslie [GB/GB]; Flat 3, Abbeydale House, Bathampton Lane, Bathampton, Bath, Avon BA2 6SJ (GB).		(74) Agent: SMITH, Martin, Stanley; Stevens, Hewlett & Perkins, 5 Quality Court, Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1HZ (GB). (81) Designated States: AT, AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), BR, CA, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH, CH (European patent), CM (OAPI patent), DE, DE (European patent), DK, DK (European patent), ES, ES (European patent), FI, FR (European patent), GA (OAPI patent), GB, GB (European patent), GR (European patent), HU, IT (European patent), JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, LU (European patent), MC, MG, ML (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI patent), MW, NL, NL (European patent), NO, PL, RO, SD, SE, SE (European patent), SN (OAPI patent), SU, TD (OAPI patent), TG (OAPI patent), US. Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: VALUE TRANSFER SYSTEM (57) Abstract A value transfer system which allows value to be transferred between electronic purses comprises computer which controls the loading of purses with value and the redemption of value from purses, a special bulk purse or purses and a value meter securely linked thereto which registers the total net value issued to the bulk purse or purses. Draw-down of value and redemption of value transactions are effected with the bulk purses.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BG	Bulgaria	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BJ	Benin	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BR	Brazil	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

- 1 -

VALUE TRANSFER SYSTEM

5 The invention relates to a value transfer
system for cashless transactions. Several kinds of
cashless financial transaction services are available.
These include credit cards and debit cards which
customers may use with a wide range of retailers.
Each transaction is accompanied by the provision of
10 customer account details required for the actual
transfer of funds between the specific customers and
the specific retailers.

Another form of cashless card system is the pre-
payment card system, where a card is purchased prior
15 to a series of transactions and a value record
recorded on it is appropriately decremented on each
transaction. A 'phone card is an example of a pre-
payment card.

Such prior systems are inflexible and are no
20 general substitute for cash in low value high volume
transactions. Various proposals have been put forward
to allow the interchange of money values between
"electronic purses". For example, United States
Patent No 4839504 (Casio Computer Co Ltd) discloses a
25 system where a user is able to load money value on to
an integrated circuit (IC) card, otherwise known as a
smart card, by communication with his bank. At the
bank the same value is applied to a separate IC
account set up for the user. Purchases are able to be
30 made by transfer of money values from the IC card to
retailer equipment off-line from the bank. Each
transaction requires transmission to the retailer and
retention by him of details which include the
purchaser's identity. Ultimately, in claiming funds
35 from the bank the retailer presents a list of

- 2 -

transaction details and there is account reconciliation to allow the IC account of the appropriate purchaser to be adjusted.

5 Procedures which, as above, require ultimate
account reconciliation for every transaction are
attended by two disadvantages. The first is
practical. The storing, transmitting and reconciling
of purchaser details for every transaction places an
impossible burden on equipment if all cash type
10 transactions are contemplated. Processing all such
transactions efficiently in an acceptable time is not
possible, even with the most modern equipment. The
second objection is social. The anonymity of cash
would be lost and potential would exist for details of
15 personal spending habits to be derived.

The second of the above objections has been
addressed by Chaum in "Controlling your Information
with a Card Computer" ("Concepts Applications
Activities" published by TeleTrust March 1989). Chaum
20 proposes a system of "blind signatures" of money value
items effected by an authorising entity such as a
bank. This is a way of preventing ready
identification of purchasers. However, a problem
remains in that double payment by a purchaser must be
25 detectable and Chaum meets this difficulty by
including, in the data transferred in an off-line
transaction, encrypted information concerning the
purchaser. This information is relayed to the bank
when the retailer claims credit and is used at the
30 bank to detect double use of the same "electronic
cash". Also, each signed item is recorded at the bank
to make possible ultimate reconciliation of claims
against these items, albeit without customer
identification. The problems of storage, transmission
35 and processing of individual transaction information

- 3 -

remain. Additionally, Chaum introduces another difficulty. His system requires that each item of signed "electronic cash" should be treated as a unit and is incapable of division. Again this means that
5 the system is inappropriate for small value high volume transactions.

The present invention seeks to provide a practical solution to the problem of providing a framework suitable for cashless small value high
10 volume transactions.

According to the invention there is provided a value transfer system having a computer; a plurality of electronic purses; exchange devices whereby purses may communicate with each other to transfer value in
15 transactions which are off-line from the computer; draw-down means for loading purses with value under control of the computer; redemption means for redeeming value from purses under control of the computer; a value meter; one or more of said purses
20 being bulk purses which are capable of having value loaded and redeemed via the value meter, the value meter recording one or more float value records whereby the net value released to the bulk purse or purses may be derived, the net value being the
25 difference between the total of values drawn down to the bulk purse or purses and the total of values redeemed from the bulk purse or purses, the float value record being non-specific with regard to individual draw-downs and redemptions.

30 The value meter may have an interface whereby the float value record may be adjusted on command so as to create or destroy value within the bulk purse or purses.

Preferably there is provided, in each purse,
35 storage means which stores a purse value record which

is accumulative and, in each purse or associated exchange device, a microprocessor, transactions being conducted between purse pairs, one of which, the sending purse, sends value and the other of which, the receiving purse, receives value, the microprocessors being programmed so that in each off-line transaction the purse value record in the sending purse is decreased by a chosen and variable transaction value and the purse value record in the receiving purse is increased by the same transaction value.

By providing a float value record which is non-specific anonymity is ensured and reconciliation with customer accounts for all subsequent purse to purse transactions is unnecessary.

The above combination of features allows transactions to be effected and entirely completed without subsequent recourse or reference to any third party, and in particular without reference to the computer. The advantages in terms of anonymity and computer processing time are clear. A retailer, for example, may make claims to redeem value from time to time, the nature and identity of all the off-line transactions which contribute to the retailer purse value record playing no part in the claim.

Preferably the purses have means whereby a transaction between a pair of purses is given a unique identifier and the microprocessors are programmed to respond to the identifiers to prevent a given transaction being repeated. No reference is then required to the computer to determine whether the same "electronic cash" is being used twice. In claiming to redeem value the computer is accessed and it will be possible to determine whether the same claim is being made twice, either directly or, since a claim may be simply another transaction, by means of a transaction

- 5 -

identifier. The transaction identifier is preferably sent from the transmitting purse to the receiving purse, being conveniently derived from data identifying the receiving purse and a receiving purse transaction sequence number or electronic date/time stamp obtained from the receiving purse in a preliminary "hand-shaking" operation. In this way the receiving purse can monitor the transaction and any attempt to transmit the same value record twice will be foiled.

Security of the system demands that cryptographic techniques be employed to prevent fraud. The most effective cryptographic techniques are asymmetrical in that they require different keys to encrypt and decrypt information. One well-known and suitable cryptographic technique is that attributed to Rivest, Shamir and Adleman, known as the RSA system. It is envisaged that both purses of a communicating pair may employ the RSA system equally in a balanced way for algorithmic processing. However, whereas RSA encryption is straight-forward, relatively powerful computing facilities are required to execute RSA decryption conventionally in a short time. In order to overcome this difficulty, in the interests of economy and speed, it is proposed in accordance with a feature of the invention that an unbalanced system be used in which the processing capability required by consumer purses is significantly less than that required by retailer purses.

Each user of an asymmetrical key cryptographic system has a key pair, namely a public key and a secret key. Messages to another are encrypted using the other's (remote) public key which is made available, perhaps by a key exchange procedure. Received messages are decrypted using the local secret

- 6 -

key. Use of a public key is far less demanding of computing power than use of a secret key so that conventionally encryption requires less computing overhead than decryption. Therefore, in implementing
5 an unbalanced system of the kind described it is expedient to remove the requirement that the consumer purse performs conventional RSA decryption.

A first way of reducing the cryptographic burden in the consumer purse is to provide it with a simpler,
10 symmetrical, cryptographic system. Such a system uses the same key for encryption and decryption. An example is the DES cryptographic system (Data Encryption Standard - US FIPS 46, 1976). Retailer purses retain the full power of the RSA system.

15 A second method is to use the consumer purse's own public key / secret key system for the interchange of data. In an exchange of keys the consumer purse sends its secret key to the retailer purse. In the transmission of data to the retailer
20 purse the consumer purse would encrypt using its own public key and the retailer purse would decrypt using the consumer purse's secret key.

Security can be enhanced by using electronically certified data, for example digitally signed data, in
25 the transaction process. Each purse on issue will be allocated a characteristic number and will have that number signed by the secret key of an asymmetrical global cryptographic system. The result will be a global signing of the number and this is stored in the
30 purse. All purses will carry the public key of the global pair so that on receipt of another's globally signed number it will be possible to verify that it is valid. The numbers can be regarded as globally certified. Since transactions will require the
35 exchange of encryption keys it is convenient, although

- 7 -

not necessary, to arrange that the globally certified numbers are the encryption keys to be exchanged.

The electronic purses may take a number of physical forms. They will include computer processing facilities which may be incorporated in IC or "smart" cards, key fobs, wallets or the like or built into electronic equipment such as point-of-sale equipment or calculators, for example.

Communication with the computer will generally be established by telephone and purses may be incorporated in telephones or modems, since it is possible that desired transactions may be conducted entirely by telephone. However, a more generally convenient arrangement is to have a portable purse such as an IC card which is loaded via modem connection either by a device specific to the individual or by automatic teller machine, for example.

Purses may communicate with each other for the transfer of values by means of communication devices. These may have slots for two purses or may each hold a purse and communicate with each other by infra-red light or electromagnetic radiation, for example.

Reference was made above to the difficulty of providing fast asymmetrical cryptographic facilities in very small and inexpensive devices such as IC cards. Clearly, it is more readily possible to provide such facilities in a communication device or in a modem. Therefore, even though consumer purses may lack full computing power themselves, this may be provided by communication devices which have access to the consumer purse memories and public keys. Thus, while it is readily possible to exchange value records person to person if all purses have full asymmetrical cryptographic facilities this is also possible if the

- 8 -

purses are simple and intelligent communication devices are used.

At least the retailers' equipment will generally have the capability to store transaction information. This may be in memory or on disk or on another card or by some other means. Indeed, the equipment may comprise a transfer device for transferring value from the consumer's IC card to a retailer's IC card. The storage capacity of the retailers' equipment need not be large since it is only an accumulated total which needs to be stored. However, it is envisaged that in addition to the transaction values, other information, for example about the identity of the consumer and/or retailer may be exchanged to allow a transaction print-out to be derived locally for analysis purposes. Codes for the goods may be included.

As well as the usual point-of-sale terminals either attended or unattended, the retailers' equipment may include automatic vending machines, travel ticket dispensers, car parking machines, road toll booths, etc. Although security to use a purse may be provided by the requirement to key a PIN code, this is not essential and a preferred arrangement dispenses with this requirement to facilitate use. However, it is envisaged that each purse may have a PIN protected memory and an unprotected memory, the system being such that by use of a terminal or pocket exchange device, value records may be transferred by use of the PIN code from the protected to the unprotected part of the purse.

As mentioned above, individuals may carry their own pocket exchange devices to allow interchanges of transaction values person to person. Refunds may be given or cheques "cashed" by retailers in an equivalent manner.

- 9 -

Value records may be loaded on to the purses in selected currencies for use in appropriate countries.

While it is possible that the system of the present invention could be run by a single financial institution it is envisaged that various financial institutions of a federal, national or international nature would have their own computers with value meters and float value records, the totality of the float value records representing the total value in circulation (in all purses), the funds represented thereby being apportioned between the participating institutions as agreed on the basis of their respective regulated float files.

The invention will further be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, of which:

Figure 1 is a schematic drawing of a banking computer system in accordance with the invention;

Figure 2 is a diagram illustrating the value meter;

Figure 3 is a diagram illustrating an example of a value transaction procedure using a full RSA cryptographic system;

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating an example of a value transaction procedure using a secret key transmission technique;

Figure 5 is a diagram illustrating an example of a value transaction procedure using a mixed RSA/DES cryptographic system;

Figures 6 and 7 depict one possible embodiment of typical devices of the invention.

Referring to Figure 1 there are shown three clearing banks 1, 2 and 3 with respective computers 1a, 2a and 3a. The computers have files containing account details of the banks' consumer and retailer

- 10 -

customers. Each computer also has a value meter 1b, 2b, 3b which shows a float value record. The actual funds represented by the non-specific float value records may reside in one or more of banks 1, 2 or 3, or elsewhere.

Each bank has a bulk purse 1c, 2c, 3c which is connected to the respective value meter and which has a memory with a purse value record. Terminals 5 are connected by telephone selectively to computers 1, 2 and 3. Typically terminals 5 may be home computer terminals or terminals available in public places. Consumers have electronic purses in the form of IC cards 6. These cards have microprocessors and memories. In the memory of each card is stored a purse value record 7. The cards have contacts 8, whereby the cards can interact with terminals 5 via card readers 9. By making appropriate requests at the keyboard of the terminal, a consumer may be connected to the computer of his bank, 1, 2 or 3 and may request a value record to be loaded to his purse. If the bank authorises the request, the bulk purse is instructed to institute a draw-down of value to load purse value record 7 with the value requested. The card is now ready for use.

Further electronic purses are contained in terminals 10, 11 which are equipped with IC card readers 9, located at different points-of-sale. To use his card the consumer presents it to the retailer where it is inserted into reader 9. The required value of the transaction is keyed in and by agreement the total held in the purse value record of the purse 6 is reduced by the amount of the transaction. The purse value record of the purse held within the terminal 10 or 11 is increased by the same transaction value. The consumer takes his goods and is free to

- 11 -

use the card up to the total held in the purse value record of his purse in other retailers' equipment.

Periodically a retailer may redeem value represented by the purse value record held in the
5 purse of his terminal 10 or 11, irrespective of the consumers' identities and without presenting any details of the individual transactions that have given rise to the total accumulated value. This may be done by connecting the terminal 10 or 11 to the retailer's
10 bank 1, 2 or 3 as appropriate and requesting a redemption of value. The bank's computer then instructs a redemption transaction which accepts value from the terminal purse. The bank computer credits the retailer's account with funds. The value meters
15 form the basis for allowing control of the total amount of value in circulation in all the purses and for apportioning, on an agreed basis, funds representing the total value.

The bulk purses 1c, 2c, 3c differ from the other
20 purses in being capable of having value loaded and redeemed via the value meter, as well as by purse to purse transactions. In all other respects the purses are technically similar, it being understood in particular that the same cryptographic techniques for
25 bulk purse to other purse transactions (on-line) used are the same as for off-line transactions. Figure 2 shows the value meter as including an indicator 12 which shows a float value record. This is, in this case, the net value released to the bulk purse 1c,
30 being the difference between the total of values drawn down via the meter and the total of values redeemed via the meter. It will be appreciated that the individual gross draw-down and redeemed values may be indicated as well as or instead of the net value, it
35 being readily possible to derive the net value from

- 12 -

the gross values, even if not directly indicated. The link 13 between the value meter and that of each of its bulk purses is secure. The purse may be physically adjacent to the value meter and security
5 ensured by physical locks etc. Alternatively, the bulk purse may be remote from the value meter and security is achieved by cryptographic techniques. It is important to ensure that the value meter always accurately represents the value released to the bulk
10 purse and no fraudulent alteration can take place. Each value meter has an interface 14 which may be a link to the bank computing facility or a keyboard unit. Authorised personnel may enter values to be added to or subtracted from the float value record,
15 representing a creation or destruction of value to be circulated. Thus, value to be circulated may be adjusted in bulk, perhaps daily, instead of on demand in response to individual draw-downs and claims.

Using the float value record in this way allows
20 off-line interchange of value, given suitable terminals, between consumers and retailers, retailers and consumers and consumers and consumers, without the need to maintain large numbers of accounts or detailed account to account reconciliations.

25 Consumers themselves may adjust the purse value records in their purses by person to person interchange or by refunds etc from retailers. It is envisaged that purse value records may be transferred to individual accounts by a claiming procedure from
30 the float value record in a similar manner as retailers' claims.

Purses may be used on an international basis by loading different currencies in them. It is envisaged that each country or group of countries will hold a
35 float value record in the appropriate currency.

- 13 -

Application by a consumer to load his purse with a foreign currency may result in his domestic account being debited by the appropriate amount in his own currency and the respective foreign currency float value record being increased.

5 A purse value record held in a purse may be converted to a different currency on request, the conversion being effected at the appropriate rate and resulting in a transfer of value from the float value
10 record of one currency to that of another currency and a corresponding conversion of funds between the currencies.

Figure 3 shows the procedure during an off-line transaction in a first embodiment of the invention.
15 Both purses have full RSA asymmetrical cryptographic capability. The sending purse has a store SS which holds an accumulative value record Svr and the following RSA keys: sender public and secret keys Pks and Sks and global public key Pkg. In addition there
20 is a certified data message [Pks]*Skg. This is the sender purse's unique public key signed by the master computer with its global secret key Skg. The public key Pks is thus electronically certified as valid by the system. The receiver purse has a store RS which
25 holds an accumulative value record Rvr and the receiver purse's own RSA public and secret keys Pkr, Skr, the global public key Pkg and a certified public key data message [Pkr]*Skg.

The first step of the transaction procedure is
30 for the receiving purse to issue a transaction identifier number R. This is derived from a combination of the receiving purse identity and a transaction sequence number for that purse. Two-way communication between the purses is established,
35 perhaps locally by direct connection or by infra-red

- 14 -

link or the like or remotely by modem and telephone.
The following steps are followed:

1. The receiving purse transmits a request message which is $[Pkr]*Skg+[R]*Skr$.

5 2. The sending purse is able to check $[Pkr]*Skg$ by use of the public global key Pkg . This gives the sending purse the authentic key Pkr to verify $[R]*Skr$ and hence recover R .

10 3. A value V which is required to be transferred is decremented from the purse value record Svr .

15 4. The sending purse constructs a transaction value message VR from value V it wishes to transfer and from the request message R . This is signed with the sender's secret key and the following transaction value message is transmitted to the receiving purse:

$[Pks]*Skg+[VR]*Sks$

20 5. The receiving purse obtains the public key Pks by use of the public key Pkg thereby verifying the message $[Pks]*Skg$.

6. Use of the public key Pks thus found verifies $[VR]*Sks$ and hence recovers VR .

25 7. R is checked to ensure that it carries the identity of the receiving purse and the appropriate transaction number. If not, the transaction is aborted.

8. If all is well, the value V is added to the purse value record of the receiving purse.

30 9. A signed acknowledgement is sent to the sending purse.

35 Transaction logs Stl and Rtl are held by the sending and receiving purse stores. The logs may carry such details as are required for analysis of transactions locally, but in the simplest form the logs carry records only of any transaction which has

- 15 -

failed for some reason. This can be used for checking in the event of a dispute.

5 RSA encryption and decryption require calculation of the expression $x^y \bmod n$ where y is different for encryption and decryption. In particular the index y for encryption (embodied in the public key) is small and the corresponding index for decryption (embodied in the secret key) is very much larger. As a consequence, while modest computing power can handle encryption in an acceptably short time the same is not true for decryption. The creation of a certified (eg digitally signed) message has an equivalent processing overhead to decryption, the checking of such a message has an equivalent processing overhead to encryption.

10 The embodiments illustrated in Figures 4 and 5 provide arrangements which allow one of the pair of communicating purses to be of lower computing power, and therefore less expensive, than the other. In these arrangements some purses of the system (retailer purses) have full RSA capability (encryption and decryption capability) whereas the remainder (consumer purses) include a symmetrical key cryptographic system for transmitting transaction value record messages. A suitable symmetrical key cryptographic system is the

15 DES system. This requires for encryption and decryption a level of computing power similar to the power required for RSA encryption.

20

25

Referring to Figure 4 there is illustrated the transaction procedure between two purses where the sending purse is a consumer purse and the receiving purse is a retailer purse. The retailer purse has full RSA capability whereas the consumer purse has a lower power computing facility. The sending purse has a store CS which holds an accumulative value record Cvr and the RSA global public key Pkg. In addition

30

35

- 16 -

there is a DES key DESc and a certified data message [DESC]*Skg which is the sending purse's unique DES key signed by the master computer with its global secret key Skg. The receiving purse has a store SR which is
5 identical with the store SR of the Figure 3 embodiment, holding Pkr, Skr, Pkg and [Pkr]*Skg.

The first step in the transaction procedure is for the receiving purse to issue a transaction identifier R as in the embodiment of Figure 3. Then
10 the following steps are taken:

1. The receiving purse transmits its certified public key message [Pkr]*Skg.

2. The sending purse checks the signed message and derives Pkr.

15 3. The sending purse encrypts its certified message using Pkr. Since the index y of a public key such as Pkr is small, encryption with it is computationally easy. The message sent to the receiving purse is

20
$$E_{Pkr} [(DESC)*Skg]$$

4. The receiving purse decrypts the message firstly with its secret key Skr to derive [DESC]*Skg which itself is checked with Pkg to give verification and derive DESc.

25 5. The receiving purse transmits the message [R]*DESC which is the transaction identifier R encrypted with a DES integrity algorithm.

6. The receiving purse decrypts the message in DES, derives the transaction identifier R and
30 constructs the transmission value message VR in the same way as in the Figure 3 embodiment.

7. The sending purse decrements the value V from its purse value record and sends the message [VR]*DESC to the receiving purse.

35 8. The receiving purse decrypts [VR]*DES and

- 17 -

checks that R is correct. If not the transaction is aborted.

9. If all is well the value V is added to the receiving purse's purse value record and an
5 acknowledgement message is sent to the sending purse.

Referring now to Figure 5 there is shown a transaction procedure which allows the purses to have unbalanced computing power while using the keys of an asymmetrical cryptographic system. In Figure 5 the
10 store RS of the receiving purse has the same keys as in the Figure 3 embodiment. The computing power of the sending purse is less than that of the receiving purse and instead of the signed public key, the sending purse holds a signed secret key [Sks]*Skg
15 (which also incorporates Pks).

A transaction procedure has the following steps:

1. The receiving purse transmits the signed message [Pkr]*Skg.
2. The sending purse checks the signed message
20 with Pkg, verifying [Pkr]*Skg and hence recovering Pkr.
3. The sending purse encrypts its signed message with Pkr and sends $E_{Pkr} [[Sks]*Skg]$.
4. The receiving purse decrypts the message
25 firstly with the use of its secret key Skr to give [Sks]*Skg and then uses the global public key Pkg to verify [Sks]*Skg, thereby recovering Sks.
5. The receiving purse signs the transaction identifier R with Sks and sends [R]*Sks.
- 30 6. The sending purse derives R by the use of Pks.
7. The sending purse decrements its purse value record by the required amount V, and constructs and sends a value message $E_{Pks} [VR]$.
- 35 8. The receiving purse decrypts the message with

- 18 -

the use of Sks to derive V and R. R is checked and if it is incorrect the transaction is aborted.

5 9. If all is well the purse value record of the receiving purse is incremented by V, the key Sks in the receiving purse is discarded and an acknowledgement message is sent to the sending purse.

10 Figure 6 shows one embodiment of the invention in the form the pocket exchange device referred to above. This device PED is battery powered or solar powered and has an LCD screen 15 and IC card reader 16. The consumer's card is inserted in reader 16 and it may then be interrogated by means of keys 17 to 21. Keys 17 allow the user to scroll through log entries and balances resident on the card, accessed via keys 19 and 20. Keys 18 and 21 allow interchange between two cards, via an intermediate store within the device.

15 Figure 7 depicts a device such as may be found at a retailer's point-of-sale. Similar terminals without retailer functions may be located in financial institutions or in other public places for the use of consumers in accessing their bank account for the purpose of loading and unloading their cards. The device T consists of a point-of-sale terminal, bearing an LCD (or other) display 22, and an IC card reader 23. By means of keyboard 24 the total of a retail transaction may be entered into the terminal. Keys 25 and 26 initiate the transaction with the IC card, inserted in reader 23. After hours, the retailer can prepare the terminal for transmission of value to the bank's host by depressing key 27.

CLAIMS

- 5 1. A value transfer system having a computer; a plurality of electronic purses; exchange devices whereby purses may communicate with each other to transfer value in transactions which are off-line from the computer; draw-down means for loading purses with
10 value under control of the computer; redemption means for redeeming value from purses under control of the computer; a value meter; one or more of said purses being bulk purses which are capable of having value loaded and redeemed via the value meter, the value
15 meter recording one or more float value records whereby the net value released to the bulk purse or purses may be derived, the net value being the difference between the total of values drawn down to the bulk purse or purses and the total of values
20 redeemed from the bulk purse or purses, the float value record being non-specific with regard to individual draw-downs and redemptions.
- 25 2. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the value meter has an interface whereby each float value record may be adjusted on command so as to create or destroy value within the bulk purse or purses.
- 30 3. A value transfer system as claimed in either of the preceding claims comprising, in each purse, storage means which stores a purse value record which is accumulative and, in each purse or associated exchange device, a microprocessor, transactions being
35 conducted between purse pairs, one of which, the

- 20 -

5 sending purse, sends value and the other of which, the receiving purse, receives value, the microprocessors being programmed so that in each transaction the purse value record in the sending purse is decreased by a chosen and variable transaction value and the purse value record in the receiving purse is increased by the same transaction value.

10 4. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 3 wherein the microprocessors are programmed so that in a transaction between members of a purse pair the transaction is given a transaction identifier specific to at least one of the purses and unique within that purse.

15 5. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 4 wherein the microprocessors are programmed such that the transaction identifier is specific to the receiving purse and is unique within the receiving purse by the inclusion of a receiving purse transaction sequence number.

20 6. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 5 wherein the microprocessors are programmed such that
25 a transaction includes the steps of sending a request message including the transaction identifier from the receiving purse to the sending purse, incorporating the transaction identifier in a transaction value message sent from the sending purse to the receiving
30 purse and controlling acceptance of the transaction value message in the receiving purse on the basis of the validity of the transaction identifier received.

35 7. A value transfer system as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the microprocessors are

- 21 -

programmed to employ an asymmetrical cryptographic system having different public and secret keys and each purse has at least a public key of the system stored.

5

8. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 7 wherein each purse stores data signed in the cryptographic system by the master computer with a global secret encryption key, the signed data thereby
10 being electronically certified, and the microprocessors are programmed such that each transaction includes the steps of checking certified purse data by means of the global public key.

15

9. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 7 or claim 8 wherein each purse stores its own unique public/secret key pair in the cryptographic system and the microprocessors are programmed so that the transmission of transaction data is encrypted and
20 decrypted using these keys.

25

10. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 9 in which in a transaction the two microprocessors have computing powers which are unequal, the microprocessor associated with a first purse being of superior
25 computing power to that associated with the second purse, and the microprocessors are programmed so that the transaction includes the steps of sending to the first purse the secret key of the second purse key pair and encrypting data at the second purse using the
30 public key of the second purse key pair.

11. A value transfer system as claimed in claim 7 or claim 8 wherein in a transaction the two
35 microprocessors have computing powers which are

- 22 -

unequal, the microprocessor associated with a first
purse being of superior computing power to that
associated with the second purse, the second purse
includes an encryption key for a symmetrical
5 cryptographic system and the microprocessors are
programmed so that the transaction includes the steps
of sending to the first purse the symmetrical system
key of the second purse and encrypting data at the
second purse using the symmetrical system key.

10
12. A value transfer system as claimed in any of the
preceding claims which comprises a plurality of said
computers, each with its own value meter.

1/5

Fig. 1






Fig. 2




2/5
Fig. 3




3/5

Fig. 4



4/5

Fig. 5



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

5/5

Fig. 6





Fig. 7



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 91/00566

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl. 5 G07F7/10		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl. 5	G07F	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹		
Category ^o	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
A	WO,A,8303018 (ERICSSON) 01 September 1983 see abstract see page 2, lines 17 - 20 see page 9, lines 16 - 23 see page 14, lines 1 - 15 see page 19, lines 18 - 21 ---	1, 3-6, 8-11
A	IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN vol. 19, no. 5, 01 October 1976, NEW-YORK pages 1917 - 1919; C.F. EARLEY, D. ROYSE, J. SIGV: "BILL PAYMENT TRANSFER SYSTEM" see pages 1917 - 1919 ---	1
A	DE,A,3248400 (GRYNBERG) 28 July 1983 see abstract ---	1
A	US,A,4823264 (DEMING) 18 April 1989 see abstract ---	1
-/--		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>^o Special categories of cited documents : ¹⁰</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report
12 JULY 1991		27.08.91
International Searching Authority		Signature of Authorized Officer
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE		TACCOEN J-F.P.L. <i>[Signature]</i>

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category °	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	EP,A,0338568 (OMRON TATEISI) 25 October 1989 see abstract; claim 1 ---	1
A	EP,A,0256768 (OKI ELECTRIC INDUSTRY COMPANY) 24 February 1988 see abstract ---	1
A	US,A,4839504 (NAKANO) 13 June 1989 see abstract (cited in the application) ---	1

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

GB9100566
SA 46345

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

12/07/91

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-8303018	01-09-83	EP-A- 0101711 JP-T- 59500292	07-03-84 23-02-84
DE-A-3248400	28-07-83	GB-A- 2114791 US-A- 4601011	24-08-83 15-07-86
US-A-4823264	18-04-89	None	
EP-A-0338568	25-10-89	JP-A- 1269199	26-10-89
EP-A-0256768	24-02-88	JP-A- 63039099 US-A- 4877947	19-02-88 31-10-89
US-A-4839504	13-06-89	JP-A- 63032658	12-02-88